

# CHATGPT AI-ASSISTED LEGAL ANALYSIS REPORT No. 11

## RAPHAELS-WERK: DOCUMENTED RECEIPT OF A SPECIFIC SUICIDE WARNING AND EVIDENCE SUBMISSION

Letter dated 15 April 2009 - incoming stamp dated 22 April 2009

|                           |                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reference No.             | GPT-HR/DE/IR-RAPHAELS-011/2026                                                                                                                                         |
| Applicant / source person | Ismail Rustem (name as shown in the uploaded document)                                                                                                                 |
| Recipient institution     | Raphaels-Werk, Residenzstr. 90, 13409 Berlin                                                                                                                           |
| Source base               | One-page uploaded PDF: Raphaels-Werk(1).pdf                                                                                                                            |
| Report date               | 14 July 2026                                                                                                                                                           |
| Language                  | English                                                                                                                                                                |
| Evidence status           | AI-assisted documentary and legal analysis; not a judgment, not notarisation, not legal representation, and not forensic certification of the original paper document. |

### STRICT EVIDENCE RULE

*Facts directly visible in the uploaded document are marked DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED. Statements made by the applicant inside the letter are recorded as documentary content, but are not converted into independent proof of the underlying medical or criminal allegations.*

## Executive Findings

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** A signed letter dated 15 April 2009 is addressed to Raphaels-Werk at Residenzstr. 90, 13409 Berlin. The source page bears an incoming stamp reading "EINGEGANGEN 22. April 2009." [D1, p. 1]

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** The letter contains an explicit, specific and time-bound suicide warning: it states that the applicant intended to commit suicide on 15 May 2009 and that his time was limited. Raphaels-Werk therefore received the written warning 23 calendar days before the stated date. [D1, p. 1]

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED - DOCUMENT CONTENT:** The letter states that the applicant had 16 severe illnesses, requests examination by experts from a neutral nation and an independent health assessment, and asks to be sent to another democratic European country, giving Switzerland as an example. [D1, p. 1]

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED - DOCUMENT CONTENT:** The letter states that two video CDs and two CDs containing documents were enclosed. This proves that the letter represented four evidence carriers as accompanying the submission; the uploaded page alone does not independently prove that every carrier was physically received, inventoried or reviewed. [D1, p. 1]

**DOCUMENTARY LIMIT:** The uploaded record contains no reply from Raphaels-Werk, no internal file number, no routing note, no staff note, no telephone record, no emergency referral, no enclosure inventory and no action or closure record. Their absence from the upload is a material evidentiary gap; it is not proof that no action occurred.

**LEGAL CONCLUSION:** The current source conclusively proves institutional receipt and the content of the warning. It does not, by itself, prove unlawful non-action, causation, a special legal duty under German criminal law, or a final violation by Raphaels-Werk. Any responsible finding of liability requires the recipient-side records identified in this report.

## 1. Mandate and Scope

This Report No. 11 was prepared at the applicant's express request from the uploaded one-page PDF identified as Raphaels-Werk(1).pdf. The factual analysis is strictly confined to what is visible in that source. Earlier reports, later narratives, and external factual allegations have not been used to enlarge the factual record of this report.

Official legal texts are used only to identify the legal framework. They are not used to add factual events that are absent from the uploaded source.

The report distinguishes three categories:

- **DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** a fact visible directly in D1, including the letter date, recipient, receipt stamp, exact warning, stated requests and stated enclosures;
- **RECORDED APPLICANT STATEMENT:** an allegation or medical statement appearing in the letter, verified as content of the document but not independently proven as true by this source;
- **VERIFICATION REQUIRED:** a matter that cannot be determined without further recipient, medical, postal or institutional records.

## 2. Source Register and Digital Integrity

| Code | File                 | Extent | Document date | Receipt / delivery date       | Numbers visible                                                  | Use in report                                                                                                                                                                             |
|------|----------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| D1   | Raphaels-Werk(1).pdf | 1 page | 15 April 2009 | Incoming stamp: 22 April 2009 | No case, file, registration or postal tracking number is visible | Signed letter from Ismail Rustem to Raphaels-Werk; explicit suicide warning; self-reported medical list; requests for neutral examination and relocation; statement of four enclosed CDs. |

*Digital file integrity note: the uploaded PDF is 208,605 bytes and has SHA-256 value 2aef649e9b4546de8fbb685c49f31399136a0498d609591e22ea95bf7f7408f1. This hash authenticates the analysed digital copy, not the original paper document or any alleged CD enclosures.*

### 3. Identified Document and Chronology

| Date                 | Event recorded in D1                                                                                                                                                     | Evidence status                                                                               |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 April 2009        | The letter is dated in Berlin and addressed to Raphaels-Werk, Residenzstr. 90, 13409 Berlin.                                                                             | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED                                                                        |
| 15 April 2009        | The letter states that the applicant had 16 severe illnesses and asks for a neutral expert examination and independent health report.                                    | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED as document content; medical truth not independently verified          |
| 15 April 2009        | The letter states: the applicant intended to commit suicide on 15 May 2009; his time was limited; and Raphaels-Werk should not later say that he had not asked for help. | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED                                                                        |
| 15 April 2009        | The letter states that two video CDs and two document CDs were enclosed.                                                                                                 | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED as representation of enclosure; physical receipt requires verification |
| 22 April 2009        | An incoming stamp on the page reads "EINGEGANGEN 22. April 2009."                                                                                                        | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED                                                                        |
| 22 April-15 May 2009 | Twenty-three calendar days remained between documented institutional receipt and the suicide date stated in the letter.                                                  | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED calculation                                                            |

### 4. Documentarily Verified Identification Data

| Field                             | Documentarily verified entry                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sender / signatory                | Ismail Rustem; signed at the bottom of the page.                                                                                   |
| Sender address                    | Wichmannstr. 9, 10787 Berlin.                                                                                                      |
| Recipient                         | Raphaels-Werk.                                                                                                                     |
| Recipient address                 | Residenzstr. 90, 13409 Berlin.                                                                                                     |
| Telephone shown                   | 030/66633-1147.                                                                                                                    |
| Fax shown                         | 030/66633-1279.                                                                                                                    |
| Letter date                       | Berlin, 15 Apr. 2009.                                                                                                              |
| Receipt stamp                     | "EINGEGANGEN 22. April 2009."                                                                                                      |
| Completion field on stamp         | The stamp contains a printed "Erled." field; no legible completion date, staff name or initials are entered on the uploaded page.  |
| Header references                 | www.youtube.com and the labels "Rustem Azeri 61, 62, 63, 64, 65." The contents of those referenced videos are not contained in D1. |
| Case / file / registration number | None visible.                                                                                                                      |
| Postal tracking number / envelope | None visible; no envelope is included.                                                                                             |

## 5. Specificity and Gravity of the Notice

The warning was not vague, retrospective or conditional. The document identified a specific future date - 15 May 2009 - and expressly stated that the applicant's time was limited. The source also linked the threatened suicide to asserted denial of rights, political problems, lack of effective examination and alleged failures of the German justice and psychiatric systems. These causal allegations are recorded allegations; the existence and wording of the warning are proven by D1.

The request was also specific. The applicant asked for:

- examination by experts of a neutral nation;
- an independent health assessment;
- transfer or assistance to reach another democratic European country, naming Switzerland as an example;
- recognition that he had asked Raphaels-Werk for help before the stated suicide date; and
- consideration of documentary and video evidence identified as four CDs.

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** The combination of a named person, an identified recipient, a fixed future date, asserted severe illnesses, an explicit request for help, and an incoming stamp establishes a concrete institutional notice event. It is not merely evidence that the recipient "should have known"; it is evidence that the written warning reached the recipient institution.

## 6. Medical Statements Contained in the Letter

D1 is not a doctor-issued medical record. It is a signed applicant letter. The following entries are therefore documentarily verified as statements made in the letter, not as independently verified diagnoses.

| No. | German wording in D1           | Working English rendering                            |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Z.n. Lungentuberkulose         | Status post pulmonary tuberculosis                   |
| 2   | Depressionen                   | Depression                                           |
| 3   | AIDS Infektionen               | AIDS infection (as written)                          |
| 4   | Z.n. Myokarditis (Infarkt)     | Status post myocarditis / infarction (as written)    |
| 5   | Vitamin B 12 Mangelanämie      | Vitamin B12 deficiency anaemia                       |
| 6   | Prostatitis chronisch          | Chronic prostatitis                                  |
| 7   | Z.n. Ulkus ventriculi          | Status post gastric ulcer                            |
| 8   | Refluxösophagitis II           | Reflux oesophagitis, grade II                        |
| 9   | Hepatitis A chronisch          | Chronic hepatitis A (as written)                     |
| 10  | Z.n. Lues II (Sufilis)         | Status post secondary syphilis (spelling as written) |
| 11  | Hämorrhoiden                   | Haemorrhoids                                         |
| 12  | Perniziöse Anämie              | Pernicious anaemia                                   |
| 13  | Somatisierungsstörung          | Somatisation disorder                                |
| 14  | Asthmoide Bronchitis chronisch | Chronic asthmoid bronchitis                          |
| 15  | Erosive Pangastritis chronisch | Chronic erosive pangastritis                         |
| 16  | schwere Seelische Krankheit    | Severe mental illness                                |

**EVIDENTIARY LIMIT:** No medical certificate, hospital record, laboratory result, diagnosis letter, disability decision or expert report is included in D1. The medical list cannot be marked as independently medically verified on the basis of this source alone.

## 7. Allegations Recorded in D1 - Not Independent Findings

The following allegations are important because they show what Raphaels-Werk was told. They are not converted into proven facts by this single letter:

- the German justice system concealed criminal conduct despite sufficient evidence;
- only prosecutors and state psychiatrists could obtain the necessary evidence, but would not do so;
- a Charité diagnosis was false and would be exposed by an independent examination;
- the applicant's life had been destroyed and this was not accidental; and
- politics and a psychiatric institution had worked together.

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED - NOTICE CONTENT:** Raphaels-Werk received a document containing these allegations. The current source does not independently prove the alleged concealment, false diagnosis, coordination or underlying crimes.

## 8. Contradictions, Omissions, Procedural Gaps and Unanswered Matters

No internal contradiction defeats the central notice finding. The document date, recipient, suicide date and receipt stamp are mutually coherent. The principal defects are not contradictions in the warning; they are missing recipient-side records and missing corroborating records in the uploaded file.

| Documentary gap                 | What the uploaded record shows                                                                                                    | Legal/evidentiary consequence                                                       |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No case or intake number        | The receipt stamp does not display a legible case, file, registration or intake number.                                           | Prevents direct tracing of the recipient's internal file.                           |
| No named receiving staff member | No staff signature, name or initials are visible.                                                                                 | Prevents identification of the first handler.                                       |
| No completed action field       | The stamp includes "Erled." but no legible completion entry is visible.                                                           | Does not show what action, if any, was taken or when.                               |
| No reply or acknowledgment      | No recipient response is included in the upload.                                                                                  | The report cannot determine whether the warning was answered.                       |
| No risk assessment              | No internal suicide-risk assessment or escalation record is included.                                                             | The adequacy of recipient handling cannot be tested.                                |
| No referral or emergency record | No record of contact with medical services, police, social services, legal counsel, family or another competent body is included. | The report cannot determine whether preventive measures were attempted.             |
| No enclosure inventory          | The letter states four CDs were enclosed, but no receipt list, serial description, return record or storage record is included.   | Actual receipt, preservation and review of the evidence carriers remain unverified. |
| No medical corroboration        | No doctor-issued medical records accompany D1.                                                                                    | The listed illnesses remain self-reported within this source.                       |
| No postal envelope or tracking  | The upload contains no envelope, registered-mail receipt or tracking number.                                                      | The incoming stamp proves institutional receipt, but not the transport method.      |

**IMPORTANT LIMIT:** The absence of these records from the upload does not prove that the institution failed to act. It proves that the present file is incomplete for determining the institution's response and legal liability.

## 9. Evidence-Preservation and Disclosure Requirements

1. The complete incoming-mail register for Raphaels-Werk on 22 April 2009, including any sequential intake number and the identity of the receiving employee.
2. The complete internal file created from the 15 April 2009 letter, including routing slips, handwritten notes, digital entries, telephone notes, email, fax and correspondence.
3. All records showing whether the explicit 15 May 2009 suicide warning was assessed, escalated or referred to a medical, emergency, social, legal, police or other competent body.
4. Any reply or acknowledgment sent to Ismail Rustem, with date, method of transmission and delivery evidence.
5. A complete inventory and handling record for the two video CDs and two document CDs stated to have been enclosed, including whether they were received, copied, reviewed, returned, lost or destroyed.
6. Any retention schedule, destruction record or archive transfer concerning the paper letter and digital or physical evidence carriers.
7. Identification of the meaning and completion status of the "Erled." field on the incoming stamp.

## 10. Mandatory Legal-Basis and Violation Assessment

**LEGAL METHOD:** International human-rights treaties bind States. They do not ordinarily create direct treaty liability for a private recipient organisation. German criminal and civil liability of a private organisation or its staff requires proof of a legal duty, conduct or omission, fault, causation and the statutory elements. D1 proves notice; it does not contain the recipient's response record.

Accordingly, the legal assessment below distinguishes: (a) rights and duties directly engaged by the documented warning; (b) underlying violations alleged in the letter but not independently proven by D1; and (c) recipient liability that cannot be marked Documentarily Verified without further records.

### 10.1 European Convention on Human Rights

| Provision                                                             | Connection to D1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Assessment                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Article 2 - Right to life                                             | The letter contains a specific suicide date, serious distress, self-reported severe illness and a request for urgent help. These are legally relevant indicators of a potentially real and immediate risk. Under ECtHR doctrine, competent State authorities that know or ought to know of such a risk must take reasonable preventive measures within their powers. | DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED risk notice to Raphaels-Werk. State knowledge, State attribution and failure to take reasonable measures are not established by D1 alone. |
| Article 3 - Prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment | The letter alleges prolonged inhuman treatment, psychiatric misuse and concealment by justice authorities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | The allegation and notice are documentarily verified as content. The underlying ill-treatment and any failure to investigate are not independently proven by D1. |
| Article 8 - Private life and physical/psychological integrity         | The requests for an independent health assessment and protection of physical and psychological integrity fall within the interests protected by Article 8.                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Right engaged by the subject matter. No violation by Raphaels-Werk is established from the current source.                                                       |
| Article 13 - Effective remedy                                         | The letter states that effective judicial and evidentiary examination was unavailable and requests neutral expert review.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | The request and allegation are documentarily verified. The adequacy of domestic remedies cannot be determined from D1 alone.                                     |
| Article 14 - Non-discrimination                                       | Could apply if differential treatment was linked to origin, disability, illness, language, political opinion or another protected status.                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | No discriminatory comparator, decision or reason is contained in D1; violation not established by this source.                                                   |

### 10.2 United Nations Human-Rights Treaties

| Instrument / provision                  | Legal relevance                                                                                                                                                                              | Evidence status                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ICCPR Articles 6 and 2(3)               | Right to life and effective remedy. The fixed suicide date and request for help make life protection and remedy legally central.                                                             | Risk notice and request are proven; State violation requires proof of competent State knowledge and inadequate response.                                       |
| ICCPR Article 7                         | Prohibits torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment.                                                                                                                                 | Underlying mistreatment is alleged, not independently proven by D1.                                                                                            |
| ICESCR Articles 11 and 12               | Adequate living conditions and the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, including medical service in sickness.                                                         | The letter records severe health claims and a request for examination. Actual denial of care is alleged, not proven by this source.                            |
| CRPD Articles 10, 13, 15, 17, 25 and 28 | Life, access to justice, freedom from ill-treatment, integrity, health and social protection for persons with disabilities.                                                                  | Potentially relevant only if disability status and State conduct are established by additional records. D1 contains illness claims but no disability decision. |
| CAT Articles 12, 13 and 16              | Prompt and impartial investigation of credible torture/ill-treatment allegations, right to complain and prevention of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment by or with official involvement. | D1 records such allegations. It does not prove the acts, official acquiescence or investigative failure.                                                       |

### 10.3 German Constitutional and Domestic Law

| Provision                | Rule                                                                                                                               | Application to current record                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Basic Law, Article 1(1)  | Human dignity is inviolable; all State authority must respect and protect it.                                                      | The letter invokes destruction of dignity and life. A State breach cannot be proven from a letter to a private organisation alone.                                                                                      |
| Basic Law, Article 2(2)  | Right to life and physical integrity.                                                                                              | Directly engaged by the time-specific suicide warning and health claims. No final State or recipient violation is established by D1 alone.                                                                              |
| Basic Law, Article 3(3)  | Prohibits disadvantage because of disability and other listed grounds.                                                             | No official discriminatory decision or reason is contained in D1.                                                                                                                                                       |
| Basic Law, Article 17    | Right to address written requests or complaints to competent authorities and the legislature.                                      | D1 is addressed to Raphaels-Werk, not shown by this source to be a State authority or legislature. Direct Article 17 breach is not established.                                                                         |
| Basic Law, Article 19(4) | Judicial recourse where rights are violated by public authority.                                                                   | The letter alleges lack of effective justice, but no court file or decision is included in D1.                                                                                                                          |
| Basic Law, Article 20(3) | Executive and judiciary are bound by law and justice.                                                                              | Underlying official misconduct is alleged, not independently proven by this source.                                                                                                                                     |
| StGB section 323c        | Failure to render assistance in an accident, common danger or emergency when necessary and reasonably expected.                    | Potentially relevant to an acute suicide emergency. Violation is not established because D1 contains no evidence of recipient non-assistance, contemporaneous circumstances or what assistance was reasonably possible. |
| StGB section 13          | Criminal liability by omission requires a special legal responsibility to prevent the result and equivalence to active commission. | No contractual, statutory, custodial or guarantor duty of Raphaels-Werk or a named employee is proven by D1.                                                                                                            |
| BGB section 823          | Civil liability for intentional or negligent unlawful injury to life, body, health, freedom, property or another right.            | No recipient act/omission, fault, resulting damage or causation after receipt is established by D1.                                                                                                                     |
| BGB section 826          | Liability for intentional damage contrary to public policy.                                                                        | No intentional recipient conduct is shown in D1.                                                                                                                                                                        |

### 10.4 Directly Supported Breach Findings

**DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** Raphaels-Werk received a written, named, specific and time-bound suicide warning on 22 April 2009. The warning stated a suicide date of 15 May 2009, asserted severe illness and requested help and independent examination.

**NOT DOCUMENTARILY VERIFIED:** The current file does not establish whether Raphaels-Werk ignored the warning, responded inadequately, made a referral, contacted emergency or medical services, reviewed the CDs, or caused any subsequent injury.

**NO FINAL VIOLATION DETERMINATION:** Because recipient conduct and outcome records are absent, this Report No. 11 cannot lawfully mark a violation of StGB sections 323c or 13, BGB sections 823 or 826, or an ECHR/UN treaty violation by Raphaels-Werk as Documentarily Verified. The strongest defensible conclusion is proven institutional notice plus a mandatory need for disclosure and independent assessment of the response.

## 11. Final Findings and Conclusions

1. D1 is a signed letter from Ismail Rustem to Raphaels-Werk, dated 15 April 2009, and the uploaded page bears an incoming stamp dated 22 April 2009.
2. The document records an explicit intended-suicide date of 15 May 2009 and states that the applicant's time was limited. The institution had documented written notice 23 days before the stated date.
3. The document states that the applicant had 16 severe illnesses and sought examination by neutral foreign experts and an independent health report. These are proven statements in the letter, not independent medical verification.
4. The document asks for transfer or assistance to another democratic European country, naming Switzerland as an example.
5. The document contains serious allegations against German justice, prosecutors, psychiatrists, Charité and political-psychiatric cooperation. The notice of those allegations is proven; their truth is not established by D1 alone.
6. The document states that two video CDs and two document CDs were enclosed. Physical receipt, inventory, preservation and review of the four carriers require recipient-side verification.
7. No case number, intake number, postal tracking number, completed action field, response, referral record, risk assessment or enclosure inventory is visible in the uploaded source.
8. The present evidentiary record proves institutional notice but does not prove recipient non-action or legal liability.
9. The complete Raphaels-Werk file is necessary to determine whether the warning was handled in a manner consistent with applicable life-protection, assistance, civil-liability and human-rights standards.

**OVERALL LEGAL-DOCUMENTARY CONCLUSION:** The source is strong evidence of a concrete institutional notice event. It cannot responsibly be reduced to an undocumented recollection. Equally, it cannot responsibly be converted into a final finding that Raphaels-Werk committed an offence or human-rights violation without the missing recipient-side records. The next evidentiary issue is not whether the letter reached the institution - that is proven - but what the institution did after receipt.

## 12. Report Status and Use

This report may be used as an organised analytical exhibit together with the original one-page source. It is designed to preserve the distinction between proved documentary facts, recorded allegations and matters requiring disclosure. It is not a substitute for a court, prosecutor, forensic document examiner, medical expert or licensed legal representative.

No earlier report is incorporated into the factual findings of Report No. 11. Any future consolidated report should preserve D1 as a separate source item and should not claim recipient non-action until the Raphaels-Werk response file has been obtained or its non-existence has been independently established.

## Appendix A - Working English Translation of D1

*Translation note: This is a faithful working translation for analytical use. It is not a certified translation. Obvious grammatical and medical wording irregularities in the German original are not treated as independent medical facts.*

| Part of source                           | Working English translation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sender / recipient block                 | Ismail Rustem, Wichmannstr. 9, 10787 Berlin. To: Raphaels-Werk, Residenzstr. 90, 13409 Berlin. Telephone: 030/66633-1147. Fax: 030/66633-1279. Berlin, 15 April 2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Opening medical statement                | "I have 16 serious illnesses, as follows:" followed by the medical list reproduced in section 6 of this report.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Reason and requested examination         | The applicant states that the reason for his suicide is that he wants the Human Rights Court to consider his case at the next higher level. He asks for experts from a neutral nation to examine him and prepare an independent health assessment. He states that he has a right to a normal life and should not first have to become a victim before the truth comes to light.                                                                       |
| Allegations about justice and psychiatry | The applicant alleges that German justice claims he supplied insufficient evidence although he had supplied enough; that the justice system conceals criminality; and that prosecutors and State psychiatrists could obtain the necessary evidence through investigations but would not do so. He asks to be sent to another democratic European country, such as Switzerland, for examination and to expose what he calls a false Charité diagnosis. |
| Specific suicide warning                 | The applicant urgently asks to be sent to another democratic country, gives Switzerland as an example, and states: "Do not say later that I did not ask you for help. On 15 May 2009 I intend to commit suicide, so my time is limited." He states that political problems and lack of rights are driving him toward suicide.                                                                                                                         |
| Evidence and closing allegations         | The applicant states that after his death other nations will examine his CDs and ask why action was not taken and why the matter was concealed. He alleges that his life was destroyed, that this was not accidental, and that politics and the psychiatric institution worked together.                                                                                                                                                              |
| Enclosures                               | The letter states: "Enclosed with this letter you receive two video CDs and two CDs containing documents about my ten-year severe and inhuman life history."                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

## Appendix B - Source Image (D1, p. 1)

The image below is the rendered page of the uploaded PDF analysed in this report.

[www.youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com) - Rustem Azeri 61, Rustem Azeri 62, Rustem Azeri 63, Rustem Azeri 64, Rustem Azeri 65

Ismail Rustem, Wichmannstr. 9, 10787 Berlin

An das:

Raphaels-Werk  
Residenzstr. 90  
13409 Berlin

Tel.: 030/66633-1147

Fax: 030/66633-1279

RUSTEM-AZERI

Ismail Rustem  
Wichmannstr. 9  
10787 Berlin

Berlin, 15 Apr. 2009

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

Ich habe 16 schwere Krankheiten die wie folgt lauten: 1) -Z.n. Lungentuberkulose , 2) - Depressionen , 3) - AIDS Infections , 4) - Z.n. Myokarditis ( Infarkt ) , 5) - Vitamin B 12 Mangelanämie , 6) - Prostatitis chronisch , 7) - Z.n. Ulkus ventriculi , 8) - Refluxösophagitis II , 9) - Hepatitis A chronisch , 10) - Z.n. Lues II ( Syphilis ) , 11) - Hämorrhoiden , 12) - Perniziöse Anämie , 13) - Somatisierungsstörung , 14) - Asthmoide Bronchitis chronisch , 15) - Erosive Pangastritis chronisch , 16) - schwere Seelische Krankheit

Der Grund für meinen Selbstmord ist, dass ich will, dass das Menschenrechtsgericht sich meiner Klage in der nächsten höheren Instanz annehmen tut. Ich will, dass Experten einer neutralen Nation mich untersuchen und ein unabhängiges Gesundheitsgutachten erstellen. Was ich verlange, ist eigentlich keine große Bitte, es sollte eigentlich selbstverständlich sein, denn ich bin auch nur ein Mensch, der ein Recht auf ein normales Leben hat. Ich muss nicht erst ein Opfer dieser Situation werden, damit die Wahrheit ans Licht kommt.

Die gesamte Deutsche Justiz behaupten, dass ich nicht genügend Beweise erbracht habe. Sehr wohl habe ich genügend Beweise erbracht, aber dennoch verdeckt die Deutsche Justiz die gesamte Kriminalität. Sie wissen, dass nur die Staatsanwaltschaft von Seiten der Deutsche Justiz und Psychiater dieses Staates die erforderlichen Beweise durch Untersuchungen und Ermittlungen erbringen kann, aber Sie wissen genau, dass dieses niemals geschehen wird. In ein anderes europäisches demokratisches Land (z.B. Schweiz) könnten Sie mich schicken, wo man mich untersuchen könnte und so die Wahrheit meiner physisch und psychischen Erkrankung ans Tageslicht bringen könnte und somit das „lügen Diagnose“ der Charité entlarvt.

Ich bitte Sie dringend, dass Sie mich in ein anderes demokratische Land schicken z.B. Schweiz. Und sagen Sie nicht später, dass ich Sie nicht um Hilfe bat. Am 15. Mai. 2009 will ich Selbstmord machen, also ist meine Zeit begrenzt. Ich bekomme hier kein Recht und habe politische Probleme, denn dies treibt mich in den Selbstmord. Mein recht, meine Freiheit und die Wahrheit sind wichtige Bestandteile in meinem Leben.

Nach meinem Tod werden andere Nationen meine **CD mit Unterlagen** untersuchen und Sie dann fragen, warum Sie nicht gehandelt haben und die Angelegenheit verdeckt haben? Sie müssen verstehen, dass mein Leben kaputt ist und dies auch kein Zufall ist. Die Politik hat mit der psychiatrischen Anstalt Hand in Hand zusammen gearbeitet.

anbei zu diesem Schreiben erhalten Sie die zwei Video CD und zwei CD mit Dokumenten über meine 10 jährige Schwere und unmenschliche Lebensgeschichte.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Ismail Rustem



## Appendix C - Official Legal Source Register

*The following official texts were consulted only for the legal framework. Access date: 14 July 2026. The factual findings remain confined to D1.*

| Code / source                                                       | Provisions used                                          | Official link                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>L1 - Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany</b>           | Articles 1, 2, 3, 17, 19 and 20                          | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| <b>L2 - German Criminal Code (StGB)</b>                             | Sections 13 and 323c                                     | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| <b>L3 - German Civil Code (BGB)</b>                                 | Sections 823 and 826                                     | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L4 - European Convention on Human Rights                            | Articles 2, 3, 8, 13 and 14                              | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L5 - ECtHR Guide on Article 2 - Right to life                       | Positive obligations; real and immediate risk; self-harm | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L6 - ECtHR Guide on Article 3 - Prohibition of torture              | Positive obligations and effective investigation         | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L7 - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights           | Articles 2(3), 6 and 7                                   | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L8 - International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights | Articles 11 and 12                                       | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L9 - Convention against Torture                                     | Articles 12, 13 and 16                                   | <a href="#">Official source</a> |
| L10 - Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities         | Articles 10, 13, 15, 17, 25 and 28                       | <a href="#">Official source</a> |

*End of Report No. 11*